## Peer-feedback evaluation

Instructions: Use this template to review the feedback that students provide to their peers. It helps ensure that peer-feedback is constructive, respectful, and useful for improving work. You can use it as a grading tool (if peer-feedback is assessed), as a formative guide, or to give students meta-feedback on how they provide feedback. Adapt as needed for your course and assignment type.

Student giving feedback:	А	Assignment:							
Student receiving feedback:	Date:								
For each dimension, mark the level observed and add comments where useful									
	Excellent	Good	Average	Weak	N/A				
Constructiveness: Does the feedback offer useful suggestions rather than vague praise/criticism?	0	0	0	0	0				
Specificity: Are comments concrete, referring to examples in the peer's work?	0	0	0	0	0				
Respectfulness: Is the tone professional, supportive, and encouraging?	0	0	0	0	0				
<b>Actionability:</b> Does the feedback suggest clear steps for improvement?	0	0	0	0	0				
<b>Balance:</b> Does the feedback highlight both strengths and areas for improvement?	0	0	0	0	0				
Depth of engagement: Does the feedback go beyond surface-level comments?	0	0	0	0	0				
Additional comments:									

Teacher's meta-fee  Use this section to provide fee feedback. Highlight what they balanced, respectful) and poi feedback could be improved. encouraging, so that students to develop.	edback to did partion nt out one Keep you s see feed	the student cularly well e or two are ir comment back-giving	con how they gaves, being specias where their personant concise, constructions as a skill they concise.	ve ific, eer- uctive, and an continue
One thing this student did we      One way they can make their  Engagement with the evaluation can	II when giv	ing feedback	time:	
Items to be considered	YES	NO	PARTIALLY	N/A
Did the feedback explicitly mention at least 2 criteria from the brief? Were the criteria correctly interpreted and applied?				
Did the feedback include examples from the peer's work that illustrate the criteria?				
Did the feedback explain how the peer's work demonstrates (or falls short of) a specific ILO?				
Did the feedback suggest concrete ways to better meet the evaluation criteria in a revision or future assignment?				



