# FACT SHEET


# **NATIONAL STUDENT SURVEY 2018**

The factsheets are based on all response of the 'Benchmarkbestand' (without n5 rule).





## STUDENT SATISFACTION REGARDING THE 17 THEMES in co



CONT	TENT		
2018	2017	nat	ional
4.01	4.06	The level of your study programme	
3.87	3.88	How well the content of your study programme meets your expectations	•
3.76	3.72	The match between your prior education and your programme	•
3.79	3.83	How motivating you find your programme to be	
3.86	3.90	The match between the content of your programme and current professional/academic developments	•
3.84	3.85	The connection between the various components of your programme	
3.76	3.77	The learning methods used in your study programme	•
3.85	3.88	The quality of the study materials	•
3.48	3.50	The match to your prior work experience and your study programme	•
3.56	3.60	How well you can apply your learning in your job	•

# **GENERAL SKILLS**

2018	2017		national
3.94	3.98	Critical thinking	
3.94	3.97	Problem solving	
3.89		Justifying your conclusions	
3.67	3.70	Communication skills (e.g. oral presentations, conversation)	
3.80	3.81	Teamwork	
3.71	3.72	Debating/reasoning skills	

SCIENTIFIC SKILLS	
2018 <b>2017</b>	national
3.98 4.00 Analytical thinking	🔻
3.85 3.85 Critical assessment of scientific work	
3.58 3.62 Writing scientific papers	
3.66 3.69 Research methods and techniques	
3.50 3.54 Conducting research	

# PREPARATION PROFESSIONAL CAREER/ RELEVANCE PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE

2018	2017	nat	io	nal
3.21	3.23	Acquiring skills for professional practice		•
3.13	3.16	The focus of your study programme		•
3.10	3.13	The link to professional practice (e.g. work placements/internships, guest speakers, assignments for external organisations)		•

# TEACHERS

2017 natio	nal
4.12 Your teachers' subject expertise	•
3.70 Your teachers' teaching skills	•
3.68 Your teachers' availability outside contact hours	•
3.61 Your teachers' sense of interaction with their students	•
3.63 Quality of guidance your teachers provide	•
3.48 Quality of feedback your teachers provide	•
3.56 The way your teachers inspire you	•
3.94 Your teachers' understanding of professional practice in their field	
3.71 Your teachers' command of the English language (for students who are taught in English)	

### ACADEMIC GUIDANCE/ COUNSELLING 2018 2017 national 3.57 3.63 Opportunities for receiving guidance/counselling 3.52 3.60 Quality of guidance/counselling provided 3.26 The initiative your study programme shows in providing vou with support or guidance

### EXAMINATIONS AND ASSESSMENT

3.16

2018	2017	nati	onal
3.62	3.62	The transparency of the criteria used to assess your academic work and progress	•
3.67		The suitability of examinations and assessment to the content of the programme	•
3.63	3.65	Quality of examination on knowledge	•
3.56	3.58	Quality of practical examinations	•

### AVAILABILITY OF INFORMATION

2018	2017	national
3.78	3.81	Information about your academic progress
3.61	3.63	Information about rules and procedures
3.46	3.47	Information about the organisation of your study programme (such as majors, minors, studying abroad)
3.17	3.22	Timely publication of examination and assessment results

2017 nation	nal
3.88 The timely publication of study programme schedules	•
3.81 The timely notification of schedule changes	
3.89 Practicality of study programme schedules (with regards to factors like times and locations)	
3.96 The number of teaching hours planned	

#### STUDY LOAD

2018 <b>201</b>	<sup>7</sup> national
3.64 3.71	The distribution of the study load across the academic year
3.72 3.76	The feasibility of deadlines
3.65 3.74	The ability to take the preferred courses without encountering a study delay
3.37 3.42	How much credits (ECs) correspond to the actual study load
3.50 3.57	The opportunities to combine working and learning
GROUP SIZ	E/CLASS

2018	2017 N	atio	na
4.11	4.06 Tutorial group size (project groups)	.	
3.87	3.89 Lecture group size (classes)		•
3.86	3.87 Your study programme's ratio of large-group learning versus small-group learning	3   -	•

# DETAILED **REPORT**



INTER	INSHI	PS AND STUDY PROGRAMME
2018	2017	national
3.23	3.16	Supervision of your internship provided as part of your  rogramme
3.16	3.11	Being prepared for your internship as part of your programme

#### INTERNSHIP EXPERIENCE 2018 2017 national 3.90 **Supervision you received at the company or** organisation where you did your internship • 4.17 4.16 Knowledge or skills you acquired during your internship 3.72 The link between internships and the rest of your programme • 3.64

LEAR	NING	FACILITIES
2018	2017	national
3.70	3.66	Suitability of classrooms
3.37	3.26	Suitability of workstations (e.g. quality of computers and study rooms)
2.63	2.56	The availability of workstations (e.g. sufficient number of workstations)
3.36	2.93	The library/resource centre
3.45	3.26	IT facilities
3.67	3.67	The digital learning environment

QUALITY EVALUATION						
2018	2017	national				
3.55	3.58	Evaluation of education and learning based on student feedback				
2.93	2.97	Information about evaluation outcomes				
3.14	3.14	The way your study programme uses evaluation outcomes				
3.18	3.23	The way your study programme deals with complaints <b>v</b> and issues				

# PURSUIT OF EXCELLENCE

2018	2017	national
3.70	3.72	Being challenged or invited to give your very best
3.43	3.47	Being given opportunities to pursue your own interests
3.50	3.53	Being encouraged to explore deeper into the curriculum material
3.61	3.60	Students challenging and inspiring each other to perform to a higher level

2018	2017		national
3.16	3.22	Encouragement to study abroad	
3.24	3.31	Encouragement to learn about other cultures	
3.48	3.54	Focus of your programme on international subject	ts 🔺 📥
3.41	3.48	Opportunities offered to you to study abroad or complete a work placement abroad	

1.00 Score significantly HIGHER compared to last year

1.00 NO significant difference compared to last year

1.00 Score significantly LOWER compared to last year

Significantly HIGHER compared to national

Significantly LOWER compared to national

NO significant difference compared to national

Studiekeuze123