

Research Master Neuroscience Programme

Course Outline

Course Code RMNS-1.10

Course Name Writing and presenting Research proposal

Teaching Methods Practical, traineeship, self study

Aims of the Course

Writing

- 1. To develop skills in scientific writing in English.
- 2. To understand the content and purpose of different parts of a research proposal such as those prepared in order to obtain funds from granting agencies.
- 3. To obtain the necessary background information on the topic to be studied as a year-long project, and demonstrate this knowledge in written form.
- 4. To create a formal plan for the year-long research project.
- 5. To clearly state scientific questions and present a realistic experimental plan to answer them in written form.
- 6. To develop skills in evaluating scientific writing.
- 7. To allow the MSc faculty a chance to review and approve your plans.

Presentation

- 1. To practice organizing experimental data for a concise and informative presentation.
- 2. To practice communicating experimental results and their interpretation.
- 3. To present information on background and methods appropriate to time and audience.
- 4. To provide an update on what you are doing in your research project.

Learning Goals

The RM students acquire the following skills and knowledge:

- 1. The ability to formulate a relevant problem in scientific terms and translate it into a scientific question.
- The ability to translate a scientific question into a scientific protocol and/or a research proposal that is both achievable and realistic to research.
- 3. The ability to carry out and evaluate an extensive literature study of an issue.
- 4. Acquisition of adequate knowledge of scientific research and biostatistics analytical methods, and the ability to apply this knowledge in composing a research proposal and in performing, analyzing and interpreting research.
- 5. The ability to present the research findings in a scientific meeting.
- 6. The ability to critically review and assess relevance of scientific results of others.

Target Group MSc Neuroscience students

Organisation Erasmus MC – Department of Neuroscience

Level 2 (Master)



Credits 8 ECs Study Load 224 hrs

Testing Assessment of research proposal and proposal presentation

Language English

Location Erasmus MC – Dept. Neuroscience

Date April - June

Registration This course is part of the MSc Neuroscience curriculum. Separate

registration is not necessary for admitted students.

Responsibility Erasmus MC – Department of Neuroscience

Coordination RM Neuroscience programme – Exam committee

Contact E. Buitenhuis-Linssen

E-mail: masterneuroscience@erasmusmc.nl

Alumni LinkedIn Group RM Neuroscience group, ErasmusMC

https://www.linkedin.com/groups/8133912

Information	
Start leve	l 1 st year Master student
Summary	
See overv	riew of various projects
Teaching Self study	Strategies
Upon com	Attributes apletion of this course, if you have attended and actively participated, and when you sed with sufficient results, you are awarded 8 ECs.

Testing and Assessments

Testing Procedure

The proposal is formally graded by two independent faculty members and based on the following categories for assessment: 1; originality, 2; feasibility, 3; argumentation, 4; documentation and challenging and innovative aspects, 5; effectiveness of methodology, 6; style/grammar.

The final grade is adjusted if needed based on consensus of all faculty who have reviewed the proposal. You will receive a grade on a scale from 1 (worst) to 10 (best).

The oral presentation will be assessed by at least two of the faculty on the content and discussion (5 points) and Style of presentation and discussion (5 point).

The weighting of the proposal versus the oral presentation is 50/50%. On every component of the exam a 5,5 or higher must be scored.

Grade appeal is subject to the rules laid out in the Teaching and Examinations Regulations of Erasmus MC.



Quality Control Exams

In accordance with the assessment plan.

Quality Management

Evaluation

The MSc programme co-ordinators are open for suggestions from course participants on possible improvements.

Course contents and setup are re-evaluated periodically, at least once a year, by the course directors and MSc programme chair members.